

EXHIBIT 6  
DATE 3-26-09  
SB 235

**Kim Brown Campbell, EdS, LCPC, ATR**  
315 S. Fourth St. E, Ste. 204  
Missoula, MT 59801  
March 25, 2009


Dear House Business and Labor Committee:

I am writing as a Licensed Clinical Professional Counselor in opposition to SB235, which would extend the use of the term "psychological testing, evaluation and assessment" to potentially unqualified persons. If passed, I believe this bill would pose a significant risk to the public for reasons stated below.

I am certified via the Board of Social Work and Licensed Clinical Professional Counselors as a professional to whom use of this term would be extended. During my graduate study, I did not receive education and training that was sufficient to prepare me to conduct competent psychological evaluation and assessment. In fact, my graduate programs communicated explicitly that my training alone did not qualify me to provide these services. Given my educational experiences, I am particularly concerned that SB235 does not require individuals who are not psychologists to receive the necessary training to perform psychological assessment and testing. I feel this could harm clients to whom I provide services if untrained professionals are making diagnostic, prognostic, or other life-altering decisions based on inadequate training in these areas.

Please consider these issues as reasons to oppose SB235. Thank you.

Sincerely,

 EdS, LCPC, ATR  
Kimberly B. Campbell, EdS, LCPC, ATR  
Art Therapist